



A Case for Investing in Software Architecture and Framework Research

Anshu Dubey

- □ Recap from the last workshop
 □ Workflows for big data and extreme computing share many characteristics
 □ Many stages in the computations, different algorithms for each stage
 □ Diverse and often conflicting demands from system resources
 □ Challenge of Interoperability
 □ From our Data Intensive Systems Group Lead: Usable software
- ☐ From our Data Intensive Systems Group Lead: Usable software should be the leading topic in this area!

Software Architecture and Frameworks

- ☐ We know how to design for clusters
 - ☐ Componentization, Composability
 - □ Achieved at the cost of some performance loss
- ☐ We do not know how to design for the future
 - □ Heterogeneity => need for abstraction lifting
 - Data movement minimization => rethinking computations
 - ☐ Higher failure rates => algorithmic/ redundancy

Chasm



Why the Urgency?

☐ A huge array of design choices ☐ Available benchmarks and/or applications studies focus on one programming abstraction at a time ☐ Usually fine-tuned to produce the best possible results to showcase the technology ☐ Do not always translate to equivalent performance at production level ☐ Almost no data about what happens when you mix them ☐ Separation of concerns will dictate that different abstractions handle different concerns ☐ We need to figure out what the framework that enables this kind of interoperability will look like ☐ Then we will need to figure out how to get there from here ☐ Build tools and methodologies along the way

There are some efforts to refactor, but in the absence of knowing what should a code look like after refactoring, the developers are operating blind.



Example of Abstractions (SAMR)

